

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/533,443	<b>Applicant(s)</b> COURTY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PHUONG HUYNH	<b>Art Unit</b> 1644	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) PHUONG HUYNH.

(2) Robert A Madsen.

**Date of Interview:** 21 January 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
Claims discussed:  
20, 27-28, 35 and 36  
  
Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Applicants agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Phuong Huynh/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)